

**COMMENTS TO TRAFFIC ORDER**

<b>Who</b>	<b>Road / Ward</b>	<b>Object / Support</b>	<b>Contents</b>	<b>Comments/Recommendations</b>
Public Transport Officer	N/A	Support	Fully Support the Scheme. It will improve bus service reliability in the area concerned.	
Resident	Arundel Road	General Comment	Various questions regarding the details of the scheme. Includes requests for changes to shared Pay & display, parkign on certain roads and inclusion of Boundary Road.	Should any amendments be appropriate as a result of the points raised or other residents / businesses we will include them in the pending amendment order which will follow shortly after implementing the scheme should the Environment Cabinet Member approve it. The majority of Boundary Road is not adopted or maintained by the Council. As such including this road could not currently be considered an option for this scheme.
Resident	Outside proposed Area	Object	The proposal does not include sufficient short & long term pay & display bays to serve the local population, businesses and community services.	The introduction of this parking scheme followed comprehensive public consultation. As with all the parking schemes introduced into Brighton and Hove the objective is to find the right balance of residents, business and daily parking for a local area.
Resident	Outside proposed Area	Object	The proposal does not include sufficient short & long term pay & display bays to serve the local population, businesses and community services.	The introduction of this parking scheme followed comprehensive public consultation. As with all the parking schemes introduced into Brighton and Hove the objective is to find the right balance of residents, business and daily parking for a local area.

Resident	Cowfold Road	Object	Unhappy with the results of the informal consultation and stating that a scheme should not have been proceeded with in these two roads. Comments were also made that these roads should not have been re-consulted as they were against the parking scheme proposal.	The reason for proceeding with these two roads is outlined in paragraph's 3.4 to 3.7 in the report.
Resident	Manor Road	Object	Unhappy with the results of the informal consultation and stating that a scheme should not have been proceeded with in these two roads. Comments were also made that these roads should not have been re-consulted as they were against the parking scheme proposal.	The reason for proceeding with these two roads is outlined in paragraph's 3.4 to 3.7 in the report.
Resident	Prince Regent Close	Object	The proposed parking scheme is just a revenue raising exercise for the Council. They also state there is not a parking problem in this road and residents don't want a scheme.	When introducing new residents parking schemes the Council must demonstrate that these would be self financing. This is why charges have to be made for On-street parking through permits and pay & display. Any surplus from the revenue received from the proposed parking schemes goes back into transport improvements throughout the City. In terms of Prince Regent Close in the original consultation 53% of respondents in this road were in favour of the parking proposals.
Resident	Manor Green	Object	The double yellow lines proposed throughout the road is too restrictive.	The Council appreciates the difficulties of not being able to park on Manor Green under the current proposals but the road is far too narrow to allow parking on either side of the road.